EXHIBIT G

Pages 1 - 73

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY, MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IN RE PACIFIC FERTILITY CENTER) No. 18-1586 JSC LITIGATION

San Francisco, California Tuesday, May 18, 2021

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiffs:

GIRARD SHARP LLP

602 California Street, Suite 1400 San Francisco, California 94108

BY: DENA C. SHARP, ESQ. ADAM E. POLK, ESQ.

NINA R. GLIOZZO, ESQ.

GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP

505 14th Street, Suite 1110

Oakland, California 94612

BY: AMY M. ZEMAN, ESQ.

JOHN BICKNELL, ESQ.

GEOFFREY A. MUNROE, ESQ.

For Defendant Chart Industries:

SWANSON, MARTIN AND BELL, LLP

330 N Wabash, Suite 3300

Chicago, Illinois 60611

BY: JOHN J. DUFFY, ESQ.

KEVIN M. RINGEL, ESQ.

ANDREW LOTHSON, ESQ.

KRISTINE C. REVEILLE, ESQ.

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

Reported By: Katherine Powell Sullivan, CSR #5812, CRR, RMR

Official Reporter - U.S. District Court

1 THE COURT: Good morning. 2 MR. MUNROE: Good morning. MR. DUFFY: Good morning, Your Honor. John Duffy on 3 behalf of Chart. 4 5 THE COURT: Good morning. MR. LOTHSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Andrew 6 Lothson on behalf of Chart. 7 THE COURT: Good morning. 8 MS. REVEILLE: Good morning, Your Honor. Kristine 9 Reveille on behalf of Chart. 10 11 THE COURT: Good morning. MR. COWDEN: Good morning. Marc Cowden on behalf of 12 13 Chart. THE COURT: Good morning. 14 MR. RINGEL: Good morning. Kevin Ringel on behalf of 15 16 Chart. 17 THE COURT: Good morning. All right. And as I said before, welcome, everyone. Good to see in person. 18 What I want to do with our final pretrial, go through some 19 witness issues, exhibits, jury instructions, demonstratives, 20 21 and then the jury list if there's anyone we can excuse at this time without actually having them come in. 22 23 So, first, with respect to witnesses, the Cryoport witnesses are going to appear by their deposition. Mr. Wade, I 24 don't believe I can require to appear given his status with 25

Chart; that he's not a managing agent or officer. So he will be by deposition. And then the same, Mr. Junnier has declined to appear remotely or otherwise, so he would be by deposition. I don't know if anyone wants to hear from that, but I believe that's what the rules require.

Okay. All right. And did we figure out when you're going to give to me, if you have objections or impasse with respect to the video deposition testimony?

MR. DUFFY: I think your ruling now helps us make those decisions. I do think, Your Honor, based on how much video we have in the case, it's really important that after today we meet and confer and figure that out just because it's so much work.

THE COURT: Yes. No, it will be a lot. The good news, though, is video deposition testimony goes much quicker, and then you can use your limited hours for other things.

All right. So with respect to the exhibits then, first, the other occurrence exhibits and -- I think, you know, each party reserves the right to object to something at the time. So I don't think that Chart, by not attaching all those exhibits to the motion in limine, waived anything.

In particular, though, as plaintiffs point out, most of the exhibits they don't intend to use. So what I'm going to address this morning are those they said they intend to use.

To the extent, though, something else appears on the list,

they can do that up there.

Again, not going back to the jury room. The jury's only going to see it briefly.

MR. POLK: Understood.

THE COURT: Okay. So don't know where our people are to practice.

I guess the next thing, I think, then to do would be from the list of jurors that we have, if there are any that we can exclude.

Now, without, obviously --

MS. ZEMAN: Your Honor, if I could, before we switch gears to talking about the jury selection, could we perhaps revisit, briefly, the Chart witnesses?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. ZEMAN: See, as it stands right now, now that Chart has -- you know, they had previously agreed that Mr. Adams would appear in person.

Based on how you opened off this hearing today, my understanding, however, is that Adams will no longer be appearing in person and neither will Mr. Wade be appearing remotely.

If that is the state of affairs, then there will not be a single Chart witness, Chart employee appearing for the hearing. We believe that there are compelling circumstances under that situation to require Mr. Wade to appear remotely and that you

do have the power to require that. 1 Chart has --2 THE COURT: I looked at it. I looked at it, and I 3 don't agree this is like the Vioxx situation. 4 5 But I think you can comment on it. I mean, you can certainly comment that Chart chose to not have any of its 6 7 employees testify live. MR. DUFFY: Your Honor, I think that would be overly 8 prejudicial to Chart, because if they don't control the 9 witnesses then do I get to be able to say that? I think I have 10 11 to say something. THE COURT: Well, what's the evidence? What's the 12 evidence that Mr. Wade -- that if Chart asked Mr. Wade to 13 testify remotely that he will not? 14 15 MR. LOTHSON: I can comment on that, Your Honor. In 16 our opinion, irrelevant other current stuff. It's about 17 controllers, hearsay complaints. That's lawyer argument. 18 THE COURT: MR. LOTHSON: I know. 19 THE COURT: That's why the lawyers don't want him to 20 21

THE COURT: That's why the lawyers don't want him to testify. That's exactly what I think it is. That's exactly what I think it is, not that Mr. Wade -- not that Chart doesn't control Mr. Wade. Clearly, Chart does.

22

23

24

25

But I don't think that I can make him testify because he's not a managing agent. Right? I understand you don't think

```
he's relevant. I disagree.
 1
                                  I disagree.
          That is -- that's exactly the argument they want to make;
 2
     right? That's exactly the concern they have, is that you are
 3
     manipulating the witnesses to only have those come live that
 4
 5
     you think help Chart. That's why I said that -- but, you know,
 6
     I think that if someone -- you can't use somebody live and have
 7
     them have to do a depo. That's not happening. If it's all
     depo, it's all depo. But, you know.
 8
              MR. DUFFY: Your Honor, to counter their position, may
 9
     I point out to the jury that the vast majority of all these
10
11
     witnesses work for somebody else?
              THE COURT: I don't think those people -- if they're
12
    not -- Chart. Mr. Wade works for Chart.
13
14
              MR. DUFFY:
                          Correct.
                         Not the other people.
15
              THE COURT:
16
              MR. DUFFY:
                         Correct.
17
              THE COURT: If they work for Cryoport, they work for
     Cryoport. You don't have any control over that.
18
              MR. DUFFY:
19
                          Right.
20
              THE COURT:
                         Maybe you do, but I'm not --
              MR. DUFFY:
                         We do not.
21
22
                          Yeah, yeah.
              THE COURT:
          No, I don't think that would be a fair argument with
23
     respect to the other people at all.
24
25
              MR. DUFFY: With Mr. Wade.
```

1 THE COURT: Mr. Wade works for Chart though; right? 2 MR. DUFFY: Correct. Yeah, Mr. Wade works for Chart. THE COURT: 3 Your Honor, what about, however, the fact 4 MS. ZEMAN: 5 that Chart had agreed to provide Mr. Adams and Mr. Ingram in person? And so now he's now saying he has no control and --6 7 THE COURT: Are they the other two Cryoport people? MS. ZEMAN: Correct. 8 That's because you raised the issue with THE COURT: 9 the third Cryoport person. I suppose they would agree, maybe, 10 11 to still have them appear in person if you don't object to the third person being by deposition. 12 That was my meddling, which was I thought that was the 13 argument that you were making, that Mr. Polk made, that the 14 15 other two were appearing in person and that the third Cryoport 16 person they were refusing to put up, and that wasn't fair. 17 MS. ZEMAN: Regarding Mr. Junnier. At this point, however, there's sort of multiple things 18 going on at the same time. If Wade were being presented 19 remotely, we might be okay without Mr. Adams, doing him with 20 deposition. 21 But now, without Mr. Wade, it seems odd that we would not 22

But now, without Mr. Wade, it seems odd that we would not be able to comment on Chart not providing Mr. Adams and Mr. Ingram just because they work for Cryoport when previously Chart had agreed to provide them. They clearly have control of

23

24

25

1 Mr. Adams and Mr. Ingram. The reason they're not providing them is 2 THE COURT: because I did a ruling because you complained that the third --3 who is the third person? Is it Mr. Junnier? 4 5 MS. ZEMAN: Yes. Junnier. MR. LOTHSON: No. 6 7 THE COURT: No? MR. LOTHSON: The third person is Mr. Eubanks. 8 don't control him. 9 THE COURT: Yeah, Mr. Eubanks. You were complaining 10 11 that Mr. Eubanks, they weren't making him live. So then I said -- and that, therefore, Mr. Polk made the argument that 12 their two tank witnesses they were putting live and their one 13 controller person they were putting by deposition. 14 So then I said, yeah, seems it should be unfair to do it 15 16 that way. So it's either -- but I can't make them all be live, 17 but they could all be by deposition then. Right. I guess my comments -- what I'm 18 MS. ZEMAN: understanding Mr. Duffy to say is that we would not be allowed 19 to comment on Chart's failure to provide any employees or 20 anyone to testify as a former employee. It sounds like you're 21

anyone to testify as a former employee. It sounds like you're okay with us saying that regarding Mr. Wade but not regarding Mr. Adams -
THE COURT: He's no longer a former employee.

Correct. With Mr. Adams and Mr. Ingram, I

22

23

24

25

MS. ZEMAN:

feel we should be able to make a comment to the jury about
Chart's failure to bring them in person when they did have the
ability to do so and had been willing to do so.

THE COURT: I know, but the reason they're not now is because I did a ruling in response to Mr. Polk's argument.

Speaking in circles here.

MS. ZEMAN: I understand, Your Honor. My understanding, however, though, is that Chart actually offered that up in its briefing. It was not something we agreed to or that we asked for. We did not ask for Mr. Adams and Mr. Ingram to appear by deposition.

THE COURT: No, I understand. I mucked things up, I guess, is what I'm saying. But I'm not going to allow you to comment on something mucked up.

I would ask Mr. Duffy, then, if that's -- I was just responding to your argument or Mr. Polk's argument that it was unfair in that sense. But I didn't understand. I thought that's -- I didn't -- well, I knew you wanted Mr. Eubanks to be in person. I don't think I can require that.

MS. ZEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Maybe you can ask Mr. Duffy again if he'll have the other two be live since that's what he wanted to before. Mr. Eubanks is still not going to be live. So maybe they would be willing to do that in light of knowing that Mr. Eubanks won't be live.